

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/671,931	09/29/2003	Goetz Baumgarten	080437.52299US	8290
23911	7590 09/01/2004		EXAMINER	
CROWELL & MORING LLP			RODRIGUEZ, PAMELA	
INTELLECTU P.O. BOX 143	JAL PROPERTY GROU 300	JP	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20044-4300		3683	-
			DATE MAILED: 09/01/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	Office Action Summary	10/671,931	BAUMGARTEN, GOETZ				
	cinco rionon cummary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	The MAIL INC DATE of this communi	Pam Rodriguez	3683				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi or Reply	cation appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address				
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIONS of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30 period for reply is specified above, the maximum stare to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months afted patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION.  of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may unication.  of days, a reply within the statutory minimum of tutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) Morill, by statute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed  hirty (30) days will be considered timely.  ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on					
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2	b) This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict	e withdrawn from consideration.					
Applicati	ion Papers						
•	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on 29 Septembe Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	$\frac{r}{2003}$ is/are: a) $\square$ accepted or be tion to the drawing(s) be held in abey	· · ·				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to						
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)l	<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority of</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of</li></ul>	documents have been received. documents have been received ir of the priority documents have be nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stage				
Attachmen							
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Pomation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or In No(s)/Mail Date 09/29/03.	ΓΟ-948) Paper Ν	w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/671,931 Page 2

Art Unit: 3683

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Drawings

- 1. Figure 1 and Figure 3 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art--because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.121(d)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: on page 13 paragraph 0028 the variable "steering angle desired" is not illustrated. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required

Art Unit: 3683

corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

3. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description: in Figures 3 and 4, the variable  $\delta_{soll}$  is illustrated but is not mentioned in the specification. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

# Claim Objections

4. Applicant is advised that should claim 1 be found allowable, claim 5 will be objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate thereof. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing Art Unit: 3683

one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 6. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,648,426 to Boettiger et al.

Regarding Claim 1, Boettiger et al discloses a steering booster process for a motor vehicle having a steering arrangement (see Figure 1) for the input of a set steering variable  $\delta$  by a driver, a plurality of travel sensors (see at least sensors 2, 4 and  $v_x$ ) for detecting travel dynamic variables, a steering control system 24 configured to determine a steering control variable  $\delta_{soll}$  dependent on output variables of the travel sensors (see column 7 lines 13-22), which steering control variable  $\delta_{soll}$  overlaps the set steering variable  $\delta$  (see the abstract) and at least one further control system comprising a brake control system 25 configured to influence performance of the motor vehicle and evaluate steering control system information for stabilizing motor vehicle stability by braking individual vehicle wheels of the motor vehicle (see column 7 lines 17-21)

Application/Control Number: 10/671,931

Art Unit: 3683

comprising operating the at least one further control system 25 to evaluate the set steering variable  $\delta$  overlapped by the steering control variable  $\delta$ soll from the steering control system (see the abstract).

Regarding Claim 2, Boettiger et al further disclose braking the individual vehicle wheels by the braking control system 25 dependent on the set steering variable  $\delta$  overlapped by the steering control variable  $\delta$ <sub>soll</sub> (see column 7 lines 17-21 and the abstract).

Regarding Claim 3, Boettiger et al disclose that the set steering variable is a desired steering angle  $\delta$  and the steering control variable  $\delta_{soll}$  is a steering change angle mathematically determined depending on the desired steering angle and output values of the travel sensors.

Regarding Claim 4, Boettiger et al disclose that the set steering variable is a desired steering torque  $\delta$  (wherein the steering angle,  $\delta$ , is readable as a steering torque as well as a steering angle) and the steering control variable  $\delta_{soll}$  is an additional steering torque (wherein the steering control variable  $\delta_{soll}$  is also readable as an additional steering torque in addition to being readable as a steering angle) which overlaps the desired steering torque by way of an overlapping transmission 28.

Regarding Claim 5, see Claim 1 above.

Regarding Claim 6, see Claim 2 above.

Regarding Claim 7, Boettiger et al disclose that the steering control system 24 is essentially a steer-by-wire system in which the set steering variable  $\delta$  is a desired steering angle and a steering change angle  $\delta_{soll}$  is mathematically determined from the

Art Unit: 3683

desired steering angle and the output variables of the travel sensors (see also Claim 3 above).

Regarding Claim 8, see Claim 4 above, wherein the mechanical steering column is readable as element 21.

#### Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Reimann et al., Lissel et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,449,542 to Bottiger et al., and Hadeler et al are cited in the U.S. PTO form 892 as being the U.S. equivalent documents to citations AL – AO on applicant's information disclosure statement.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pam Rodriguez whose telephone number is 703-308-3657. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays 6 am -4 pm and Tuesdays 6 am -12 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Lavinder can be reached on 703-308-3421. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Pam Rodriguez Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3683,

Pr

08/30/04